

SEP 1 3 2002

DEQ-Office of Environmental Impact Review

# COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

#### CHESAPEAKE BAY LOCAL ASSISTANCE DEPARTMENT

W. Tayloe Murphy, Jr. Secretary of Natural Resources James Monroe Building 101 North 14th Street, 17th Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 FAX: (804) 225-3447 September 9, 2002

C. Scott Crafton Acting Executive Director

(804) 225-3440 1-800-243-7229 Voice/TDD

Ms. Anne B. Newsom Department of Environmental Quality Office of Environmental Impact Review 629 East Main Street, Sixth Floor Richmond, VA 23219

RE: Demonstration of the Manufactured Aggregate Processing Technology CBLAD Project Review No. FSPR-DOE-01-02

Dear Ms. Newsom:

As you requested, we have reviewed the Environmental Assessment (EA) for the proposed commercial demonstration project of the manufactured aggregate processing technology utilizing spray dryer ash. Provided the performance criteria of the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area Designation and Management Regulations (Regulations) are followed, including the stormwater quality provisions, the project should be consistent with the Regulations. From information contained in the EA document, it appears that the project proponent is cognizant of the stormwater requirements and the fact that there is a nearby Resource Protection Area (RPA), which must be avoided. We recommend that the RPA boundary be flagged in this area so that there is no inadvertent encroachment into this area.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our comments on this project. Please do not hesitate to contact us at 1-800-CHESBAY should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Catherine M. Harold

Environmental Engineer

Nancy/Miller

Senior Planner

Cc:

Scott Crafton, CBLAD Shawn E. Smith, CBLAD

#### REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. Please review the document carefully. If the proposal has been reviewed earlier (i.e. if the document is a federal Final EIS or a state supplement), please consider whether your earlier comments have been adequately addressed.
- B. Prepare your agency's comments in a form which would be acceptable for responding directly to a project proponent agency.
- C. Use your agency stationery or the space below for your comments. IF YOU USE THE SPACE BELOW, THE FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND DATED.

Please return your comments to:

MS. ANNE B. NEWSOM
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW
629 EAST MAIN STREET, SIXTH FLOOR
RICHMOND, VA 23219
FAX #804/698-4319

#### RECEIVED

AUG 2 9 2002

Anne B. Newsom

Environmental Program Planner

DEQ-Office of Environmental
COMMENTS Impact Review

If the proposed potable water well will serve 25 or more persone 60 days/year it is classified as a public water system, and must be permitted by the Virginia Dept of Health. The applicant should contact VDH's Colpeper Engineering Field Office for additional information on well setting, construction the

(signed)	S. E. Douglac	(date)_	8-26-02	
(title)	acting Field Sewices Engineer			
(agency)	Virginia Dept. of Health			

#### REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS:



- A. Please review the document carefully. If the proposal has been reviewed earlier (i.e. if the document is a federal Final EIS or a state supplement), please consider whether your earlier comments have been adequately addressed.
- B. Prepare your agency's comments in a form which would be acceptable for responding directly to a project proponent agency.
- C. Use your agency stationery or the space below for your comments. IF YOU USE THE SPACE BELOW, THE FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND DATED.

Please return your comments to:

MS. ANNE B. NEWSOM
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW
629 EAST MAIN STREET, SIXTH FLOOR
RICHMOND, VA 23219
FAX #804/698-4319

Anne B. Newsom
Environmental Program Planner

De do not entrupate sig adverse imports to species under our jurisdiction

(signed) Republication (date) 9/12/02 (title) Environmental Manager

(agency) Department of Game and Inland Fisheries

#### REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. Please review the document carefully. If the proposal has been reviewed earlier (i.e. if the document is a federal Final EIS or a state supplement), please consider whether your earlier comments have been adequately addressed.
- B. Prepare your agency's comments in a form which would be acceptable for responding directly to a project proponent agency.
- C. Use your agency stationery or the space below for your comments. IF YOU USE THE SPACE BELOW, THE FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND DATED.

Please return your comments to:

MS. ANNE B. NEWSOM
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW
629 EAST MAIN STREET, SIXTH FLOOR
RICHMOND, VA 23219
FAX #804/698-4319

RECEIVED

SEP 1 3 2002

MARGET TOWN

**DECORRECTE NATIONS SELECTION** 

Anne B. Newsom

Environmental Program Planner

COMMENTS

Statements in the project document concerning endangered species were reviewed and compared to available information. Correspondence with state agencies responsible for preservation of endangered species is lacking. Expansion of construction activity into adjacent wooded area for staging areas, etc., may affect listed species. Precautions should be taken to avoid such activity.

(signed) (Keith R. Tignor)(date) September 10, 2002

(title) Endangered Species Coordinator

VDACS, Office of Plant and Pest Service

PROJECT #02-163F

# RECEIVED



SEP 1 2 2002

DEQ-Office of Environmental Impact Review Joseph H. Maroon Director

W. Tayloe Murphy, Jr. Secretary of Natural Resources

# COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

#### DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION AND RECREATION

203 Governor Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219-2010
TDD (804) 786-2121

#### MEMORANDUM

DATE:

10 September 2002

TO:

Charles H. Ellis, III, Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

FROM:

Derral Jones, Planning Bureau Manager

SUBJECT:

DEQ#02-163F: Commercial Demonstration of the Manufactured Aggregate Processing Technology Utilizing Spray Dryer Ash

The Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) has searched its Biological and Conservation Data System (BCD) for occurrences of natural heritage resources from the area outlined on the submitted map. Natural heritage resources are defined as the habitat of rare, threatened, or endangered plant and animal species, unique or exemplary natural communities, and significant geologic formations.

BCD documents the presence of natural heritage resources in the project vicinity. However, due to the scope of the activity and the distance to the resources, we do not anticipate that this project will adversely impact these natural heritage resources.

Under a Memorandum of Agreement established between the Virginia Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (VDACS) and the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR), DCR represents VDACS in comments regarding potential impacts on statelisted threatened and endangered plant and insect species. The current activity will not affect any documented state-listed plants or insects.

Any absence of data may indicate that the project area has not been surveyed, rather than confirm that the area lacks natural heritage resources. New and updated information is continually added to BCD. Please contact DCR for an update on this natural heritage information if a significant amount of time passes before it is utilized.

Lastly, the proposed project is not anticipated to have any adverse impacts on existing or planned recreational facilities. Nor will it impact any streams on the National Park Service Nationwide Inventory, Final List of Rivers, potential Scenic Rivers or existing or potential State Scenic Byways. Please contact DCR for an update on this information if a significant amount of time passes before it is utilized.

Thank you for the opportunity to offer comments on this project.

# DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY DIVISION OF AIR PROGRAM COORDINATION

RECEIVED

# ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW REPORT APPLICABLE TO AIR QUALITY SEP 0 6 2002

TO: Anne B. Newsom		DEQ-	OEIA PROJE	CT NUMBER: 02-169F Office of Environmental
PROJECT TY	PE: ST	ATE EA/EIR/FONSI X FEDE		SCC
	□ cc	NSISTENCY DETERMINATI	ON/CERTIFIC	CATION
PROJECT TIT	LE: COMMERCE PROCESS	CIAL DEMONSTRATION OF ING TECHNOLOGY UTILIZI	THE MANUF	ACTURED AGGREGATE RYER ASH
PROJECT SPO	ONSOR: DEPA	RTMENT OF ENERGY		
PROJECT LO	CATION:	OZONE NON-ATTANME OZONE MAINTENANCE STATE VOLATILE ORGO	AREA ANIC COMPO	OUNDS & NITROGEN
REGULATORY	REQUIREME	NTSMAY BE APPLICABLE	ro: X	CONSTRUCTION OPERATION
1.	5-40-5200 C 8 5-40-5200 C 8 5-40-5490 et 9 5-40-5600 et 9 5-50-60 et se 5-50-130 et se 5-50-400 Subjectes standards 5-80-1700 et se 5-80-1700 et se 5-80-2000 et se tainment areas 5-80-800 et se applicable to	q. Of the regulations – Opera	E I E II Vapor Re ons  olicable to ce for Toxic Pormance for N for Stationary r or Modified 3 and modified 3 ting Permits a	covery  collutants ew Stationary Sources, Sources Sources located in sources located in and exemptions. This
K.S. Sa	nem &		0	

(Kotur S. Narasimhan) Office of Air Data Analysis September 6, 2002

#### Newsom, Anne

From:

Michael Foreman

Sent:

Monday, September 16, 2002 4:28 PM

To:

Newsom, Anne

Subject:

RE: DEQ # 02-163F

No comment on this project. Sorry for the lateness of this message. JMF

----Original Message----

From: Newsom, Anne [mailto:abnewsom@deq.state.va.us]

Sent: Friday, September 13, 2002 9:13 AM

To: Keith Tignor (E-mail); Mike Foreman (E-mail); Catherine Harold

(E-mail); Steve Manster (E-mail)

Subject: DEQ # 02-163F

#### Reviewers,

The Office of Environmental Impact Review is finishing its review period for the following project:

Commercial Demonstration of the Manufactured Aggregate Processing Technology Utilizing Spray Dryer Ash (comments due September 10, 2002)

If you would like to participate in the review, I need comments from you on your letterhead.

Thank you. If you have any questions, please email me or give me a call.

Anne

Anne Newsom 629 East Main Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 698-4135 (804) 698-4319 (fax)

#### REVIEW INSTRUCTIONS:

- A. Please review the document carefully. If the proposal has been reviewed earlier (i.e. if the document is a federal Final EIS or a state supplement), please consider whether your earlier comments have been adequately addressed.
- B. Prepare your agency's comments in a form which would be acceptable for responding directly to a project proponent agency.
- C. Use your agency stationery or the space below for your comments. IF YOU USE THE SPACE BELOW, THE FORM MUST BE SIGNED AND DATED.

Please return your comments to:

MS. ANNE B. NEWSOM
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REVIEW
629 EAST MAIN STREET, SIXTH FLOOR
RICHMOND, VA 23219
FAX #804/698-4319

Anne B. Newsom Environmental Program Planner

#### COMMENTS

I've how previously commented that no hisomo

	1000
(signed)	(date) 8.27.00
(title)	Avenaeologist o teistinan
(agency)	- OHR

### RECEIVED

SEP 1 0 2002

DEQ-Office of Environmental Impact Review

A. TRAVIS QUESENBERRY, P. E. COUNTY ENGINEER

# King George County, Wirginia

#### COUNTY ENGINEER'S OFFICE

10459 Courthouse Drive, Suite 201 King George, Va. 22485

Telephone: (540) 775-1657 Fax: (540) 775-5560

August 30, 2002

Ms. Anne B. Newsom
Department of Environmental Quality
Office of Environmental Impact Review
629 East Main Street, Sixth Floor
Richmond, VA 23129

Re: Draft Environmental Assessment - Commercial Demonstration of the Manufactured Aggregate Processing Technology Utilizing Spray Dryer Ash, King George County, Virginia; Project 02-163F

Dear Ms. Newsom,

At its meeting on July 9, 2002 the King George County Planning Commission took action on the rezoning request of the Mirant Birchwood Power Facility and their request to amend the existing Special Exception Permit. The purpose of the rezoning and amendment was to allow Universal Aggregates, LLC to use the fly and bottom ash produced as a residual of the electric production process of the coal fired power plant to manufacture a lightweight aggregate. The Planning Commission approved the rezoning request, with proffers, and the amendment to the Special Exception Permit and forwarded the case to the King George County Board of Supervisors with a recommendation for approval. At its August 21, 2002 meeting the King George Board of Supervisors approved the rezoning request, with proffers, and the amendment to the Special Exception Permit.

Copies of minutes of the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors meetings are attached, as are copies of the Proffer Statement and Special Exception Permit.

Sincerely,

A. Travis Quesenberry, P.E.

County Engineer

Cc: Mr. Dennis Kerns, County Administrator

Mr. Jack Green, Director of Community Development



# COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

W. Tayloe Murphy, Jr. Secretary of Natural Resources Street address: 629 East Main Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219

Mailing address: P.O. Box 10009, Richmond, Virginia 23240

Fax (804) 698-4500 TDD (804) 698-4021

www.deq.state.va.us

Robert G. Burnley Director (804) 698-4000 1-800-592-5482

#### MEMORANDUM

TO:

Anne Newsom

FROM:

Thomas Modena John

DATE:

August 28, 2002

COPIES:

Kevin Greene

SUBJECT:

Environmental Assessment

Commercial Demonstration of the Manufactured Aggregate Processing

RECEIVED

AUS 3 0 2002

DEQ-Office of Environmental

Impact Review

Technology Utilizing Spray Dryer Ash

The Office of Remedial Programs has reviewed the Environmental Assessment for the Commercial Demonstration of the Manufactured Aggregate Processing Technology Utilizing Spray Dryer Ash, Prince George County. We have the following comments concerning the waste issues associated with this project.

The report addressed hazardous waste sites and issues, but solid waste sites and issues were not addressed. The central office of the Waste Division did a cursory review of its data files and did not find any sites that might impact this project.

The VDEQ solid waste staff said that the spray dryer ash is excluded from classification as a solid waste because of its beneficial use in accordance with section 9VAC 20-80-150.E.2a(8) in the Virginia Solid Waste Management Regulations (VSWMR). However, storage of the ash feed stock needs to be addressed to assure that it is done in accordance with state regulations.

Since this is a construction project, any soil that is suspected of contamination or wastes that are generated must be tested and disposed of in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and local laws and regulations. Some of the applicable state laws and regulations are: Virginia Waste Management Act, Code of Virginia Section 10.1-1400 et seq.; Virginia Hazardous Waste Management Regulations (VHWMR) (9VAC 20-60); VSWMR (9VAC 20-80); Virginia Regulations for the Transportation of Hazardous Materials (9VAC

and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. Section 6901 et seq., and the applicable regulations contained in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and the U.S. Department of Transportation Rules for Transportation of Hazardous Materials, 49 CFR Parts 107, 171.1-172.558.

Finally, pollution prevention was not addressed in the report. VDEQ encourages all construction projects and facilities to implement pollution prevention principles, including the reduction, reuse, and recycling of all solid wastes generated.

If you have any questions or need further information, please let me know.